

REPLY TO LIU ET AL.: Decidualization defect in severe preeclampsia

Tamara Garrido-Gomez^{a,b,c,d}, Susan J. Fisher^{c,d,e,f,1}, and Carlos Simón^{a,b,g,h}

Addressing our first line of evidence of a decidualization defect in severe preeclampsia (sPE) (1), Liu et al. (2) describe an in vitro decidualization model by using an endometrial cell line from hTERT-immortalized fibroblasts of a woman with nonmalignant myomas (ATCC: CRL-4003) with cAMP and medroxyprogesterone acetate for 5 d. They present an unpublished RNAseq analysis of their decidualization model without reporting the number of technical or biological replicates.

We studied primary human endometrial stromal cells (hESCs) established from endometrial biopsies of former sPE patients or control women with normal pregnancies (n = 13 per group) (1). Upon decidualization using the same protocol (3), the sPE hESCs failed to show morphological changes, which were observed in the controls. Quantification of PRL and IGFBP1 secretion suggested a significantly sPE-associated down-regulation.

Lui et al. (2) fault our microarray analyses of decidualization for not identifying PRL and IGFBP1 as differentially expressed due to large variances among samples (common with primary human cells) and the small sample size (normal pregnancies, n = 7; sPE, n = 5). In hESCs from normal pregnancies, 74 differentially expressed genes (DEGs) were identified (nondecidualized vs. decidualized), including PRL (P = 0.0003; corrected P = 0.0594) and IGFBP1 (P = 0.002; corrected P =0.1448). The corrected P values [Benjamini-Hochberg] (4)], which we used to construct the heat maps, rely on a higher statistical significance than the P values. Furthermore, we show that hESCs from former sPE patients were transcriptionally inert when they were decidualized in vitro. Comparison with their counterparts from normal pregnancies revealed 129 DEGs. The expression of *PRL* (P = 0.008, corrected P = 0.2012) and *IGFBP1* (P = 0.00009, corrected P = 0.0345) was significantly down-regulated. *IGFBP1* was the most highly down-regulated gene (1).

Liu et al. (2) also criticize our transcriptomic analysis of the decidua basalis (DB; uterine lining adjacent to placenta) and decidua parietalis (DP; uterine lining away from placenta). They compare our data with other studies (5-7), finding a low correlation. This was not unexpected, given significant differences between the studies. (i) The gestational ages and PE diagnoses were different. We compared age-matched decidual samples from severe PE (29 wk) and nPTB (spontaneous preterm birth with no signs of infection; 30 wk). The others compared decidual samples from PE and term decidual samples. (ii) The collection methods were different: laser-capture microdissection (ours) and vacuum aspiration of the placental bed (the others). (iii) The decidual sites sampled were different. We noted that the DB dataset identified few genes because laser-capture yielded samples that contained a mixture of cells, including placental cytotrophoblasts. In contrast, our DP data identified many DEGs in sPE because of the clear separation between these cells and cytotrophoblasts of the smooth chorion, which enabled capture of a purer population (8). Our results suggest substantial differences between DP and DB. (iv) The other studies used a less stringent false-discovery rate (FDR) ($P \le 0.1$ vs. FDR P < 0.05). (v) The overlap among the three studies used for comparison was very low (Fig. 1).

In summary, we think that the lack of overlap among the datasets is attributable to the very significant differences in cell sources and experimental design.

Published under the PNAS license.

¹To whom correspondence should be addressed. Email: susan.fisher@ucsf.edu.

Published online August 1, 2018.

LETTER

^aFundación Igenomix, 46980 Valencia, Spain; ^bInstituto Universitario IVI, Instituto de Investigación Sanitaria Hospital Clínico de Valencia INCLIVA, 46010 Valencia, Spain; ^cCenter for Reproductive Sciences, University of California, San Francisco, CA 94143; ^dDepartment of Obstetrics, Gynecology, and Reproductive Sciences, University of California, San Francisco, CA 94143; ^eThe Eli & Edythe Broad Center for Regeneration Medicine and Stem Cell Research, University of California, San Francisco, CA 94143; ^fDepartment of Anatomy, University of California, San Francisco, CA 94143; ^gDepartment of Obstetrics and Gynecology, School of Medicine, Valencia University, 46010 Valencia, Spain; and ^hDepartment of Obstetrics and Gynecology, School of Medicine, Stanford University, Palo Alto, CA 94305

Author contributions: S.J.F. and C.S. designed research; T.G.-G. performed research; S.J.F. analyzed data; and S.J.F. and C.S. wrote the paper. The authors declare no conflict of interest.



Fig. 1. Shared genes obtained after comparative analysis of the three studies used by Liu et al. (2, 4-6).

- 1 Garrido-Gomez T, et al. (2017) Defective decidualization during and after severe preeclampsia reveals a possible maternal contribution to the etiology. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 114:E8468–E8477.
- 2 Liu JL, Zhang WQ, Huang MY (2018) Transcriptional signature of the decidua in preeclampsia. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 115:E5434–E5436.
- 3 Brar AK, Frank GR, Kessler CA, Cedars MI, Handwerger S (1997) Progesterone-dependent decidualization of the human endometrium is mediated by cAMP. Endocrine 6:301–307.
- **4** Benjamini Y, Hochberg Y (1995) Controlling the false discovery rate: A practical and powerful approach to multiple testing. J R Stat Soc B 57:289–300.
- 5 Lian IA, et al. (2010) Matrix metalloproteinase 1 in pre-eclampsia and fetal growth restriction: Reduced gene expression in decidual tissue and protein expression in extravillous trophoblasts. *Placenta* 31:615–620.
- 6 Løset M, et al. (2011) A transcriptional profile of the decidua in preeclampsia. Am J Obstet Gynecol 204:84.e1-84.e27.
- 7 Yong HE, et al. (2015) Genome-wide transcriptome directed pathway analysis of maternal pre-eclampsia susceptibility genes. PLoS One 10:e0128230.
- 8 Garrido-Gomez T, et al. (2017) Severe pre-eclampsia is associated with alterations in cytotrophoblasts of the smooth chorion. Development 144:767–777.

